

IELTS READING – When evolution runs backwards S17AT3



IELTS READING When evolution runs backwards practice test has 10 questions..

Evolution isn't supposed to run backward – yet an increasing number of examples show that it does and that it can sometimes represent the future of a species.

The description of any animal as an 'evolutionary throwback' is controversial. **q32 For the better part of a century, most biologists have been reluctant to use those words, mindful of a principle of evolution that says 'evolution cannot run backward.'**

Attempt Free Reading Test..

Download Free PDF

But as more and more examples come to light and modern genetics enters the scene, that principle is having to be rewritten. Not only are evolutionary throwbacks possible, they sometimes play an important role in the forward march of evolution.

The technical term for an evolutionary throwback is an 'atavism', from the Latin atavus, meaning forefather. The word has ugly connotations thanks largely to Cesare Lombroso, a 19th-century Italian medic who argued that criminals were born not made and could be identified by certain physical features that were throwbacks to a primitive, sub-human state.

q33 While Lombroso was measuring criminals, a Belgian palaeontologist called Louis Dollo was studying fossil records and coming to the opposite conclusion. In 1890 he proposed that evolution was irreversible: that 'an organism is unable to return, even partially, to a previous stage already realised in the ranks of its ancestors. **q27 Early 20th-century biologists came to a similar conclusion, though they qualified it in terms of probability, stating that there is no reason why evolution cannot run backwards -it is just very unlikely.** And so the idea of irreversibility in evolution stuck and came to be known as 'Dollo's law.

If Dollo's law is right, atavisms should occur only very rarely, if at all. Yet almost since the idea took root, exceptions have been cropping up. In 1919, for example, a humpback whale with a pair of leglike appendages over a metre long, complete with a full set of limb bones, was caught off Vancouver Island in Canada. **q28 Explorer Roy Chapman Andrews argued at the time that the whale must be a throwback to a land-living ancestor.** 'I can see no other explanation, he wrote in 1921.

Since then, **q34 so many other examples have been discovered that it no longer makes sense to say that evolution is as good as irreversible.** And this poses a puzzle: how can characteristics that disappeared millions of years ago suddenly reappear? In 1994, Rudolf Raff and colleagues at Indiana University in the USA decided to use genetics to put a number on the probability of evolution going into reverse. They reasoned that while some evolutionary changes involve the loss of genes and are therefore irreversible, others may be the result of genes being switched off. **q29 If these silent genes are somehow switched back on, they argued, longlost traits could reappear.**

Raff's team went on to calculate the likelihood of it happening. Silent genes accumulate random mutations, they reasoned, eventually rendering them useless. So how long can a gene survive in a species if it is no longer used? The team calculated that there is a good chance of silent genes surviving for up to 6 million years in at least a few individuals in a population, and that some might survive as long as 10 million years. In other words, throwbacks are possible, but only to the relatively recent evolutionary past.

q30 As a possible example, the team pointed to the mole salamanders of Mexico and California. Like most amphibians these begin life in a juvenile 'tadpole' state, then metamorphose into the adult form – except for one species, the axolotl, which famously lives its entire life as a juvenile. The simplest explanation for this is that the axolotl lineage alone lost the ability to metamorphose, while others retained it. From a detailed analysis of the salamanders' family tree, however, it is clear that the other lineages evolved from an ancestor that itself had lost the ability to metamorphose. In other words, metamorphosis in mole salamanders is an atavism. The salamander example fits with Raff's 10 million-year time frame.

More recently, however, examples have been reported that break the time limit, suggesting that silent genes may not be the whole story. In a paper published last year, biologist Gunter Wagner of Yale University reported some work on the evolutionary history of a group of South American lizards called Bachia. Many of these have minuscule limbs; some look more like snakes than lizards and a few have completely lost the toes on their hind limbs. Other species, however, sport up to four toes on their hind legs. The simplest explanation is that the toed lineages never lost their toes, but Wagner begs to differ. **q31 According to his analysis of the Bachia family tree, q38 the toed species re-evolved toes from toeless ancestors and, what is more, digit loss and gain has occurred on more than one occasion over tens of millions of years.**

q37 Wagner was the first person to do research on South American lizards. NOT GIVEN

So what's going on? One possibility is that these traits are lost and then simply reappear, **q35 in much the same way that similar structures can independently arise in unrelated species, such as the dorsal fins of sharks and killer whales. q36 Another more intriguing possibility is that the genetic information needed to make toes somehow survived for tens or perhaps hundreds of millions of years in the lizards and was reactivated.** These atavistic traits provided an advantage and spread through the population, effectively reversing evolution.

But if silent genes degrade within 6 to million years, how can long-lost traits be reactivated over longer timescales? The answer may lie in the womb. **q39 Early embryos of many species develop ancestral features.** Snake embryos, for example, sprout hind limb buds. Later in development these features disappear thanks to developmental programs that say 'lose the leg'. **q40 If for any reason this does not happen, the ancestral feature may not disappear, leading to an atavism.**

Attempt Free Reading Test..

Best Results Easily Get Required Score IELTS Exam Dates Available, Small Batch Size with Flexible Time, Professional.



8439000086

8439000087

7055710003

7055710004

IELTS Simulation 323 GMS Road, Near Ballupur Chowk, Dehradun, India

Chat on WhatsApp

email: info at ieltsband7.com



IELTS READING – WHEN EVOLUTION WORKS AGAINST US S52AT3
March 25, 2023
In "IELTS Reading Academic"



IELTS READING – Great Migrations S3AT2
July 21, 2025
In "IELTS Reading Easy Demo for Academic"



IELTS READING – NETSCAPE S26GT4
January 25, 2020
In "IELTS Reading Easy Demo for GT"

Tagged [easy demo](#), [Reading](#)

Leave a Reply

You must be [logged in](#) to post a comment.



